UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,479	10/07/2004	Seitaro Matsuo	T0203.0008/P0008		
²⁴⁹⁹⁸ DICKSTEIN SI	7590 01/11/2007 SHAPIRO LLP		EXAMINER		
1825 EYE STR	EET NW		DHINGRA, RAKESH KUMAR		
Washington, DO	DC 20006-5403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1763		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	01/11/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

/	
(1	
B	

			Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/510,47	9	MATSUO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			Rakesh K.		1763			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm of period-for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF TH 16(a). In no ever rill apply and will cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be timed to be spire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 11 Oc	ctober 2006	3				
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 October 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
- '=	Since this application is in condition	•—			secution as to the	e merits is		
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practi		•					
Dispositi	on of Claims			.,,				
_	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the a	application		•				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	• • •	ın from cor	neideration				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ić wiliidiaw	in moin coi	isideration.				
· _	· · · ——							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	stion and/or	olootion re	···		,		
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	Mon and/or	election re	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner	•	•				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	epted or b)[\square objected to by the ${\mathfrak k}$	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the c	drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	on is require	ed if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	aminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P1	TO-152.		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents	have beer	n received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents	have beer	received in Application	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priori	ity docume	nts have been receive	ed in this National	Stage		
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
						·		
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/06. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 10/6/06 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. In this case no English abstract for the foreign document No. EP 0739155 has been supplied by the applicant. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 7 by adding new limitations "and straight shape", "the side of".

Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-8, filed 10/11/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 under 35 USC 103 (a) clarifying the difference in slot interval between invention and the prior art have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of new reference (EP 1 032 097 A2) that when combined with Yoshiki et al and Shinji et al reads on amended claim 1 limitations. Accordingly claim 1 has been rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as explained below. Further, remaining claims 2-12 have also been rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as explained below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter

Art Unit: 1763

sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshiki et al (US Patent No. 5,843,236) in view of Ohmi et al (EP 1 032 097 A2) and Shinji et al (JP 62-152127).

Regarding Claim 1: Yoshiki et al teach a microwave plasma apparatus (Figures 1, 2, 8) comprising:

a plasma generation chamber 7 with an opening;

electro-magnetic coils 10 and magnet 10c for generating static magnetic field in the plasma chamber (magnetic generation means comprising of magnetic coils 20, 21 – page 9, lines 20-26 of specification);

a hollow waveguide with straight shape 18 with termination 19 at its end and with plurality of slots 18 in the side face of the waveguide, and a microwave window 16 (microwave introducing means comprising of hollow waveguide 35 and microwave introducing aperture 36 – page 9, line 27 to page 10, line 20 of specification). Yoshiki et al also teach that by shifting the position of long slots 18b and 18c the strong and weak portions of the electric fields of microwaves can be superimposed on each other and power of microwaves radiated to plasma chamber can be made more uniform over a wide range of area (column 8, lines 35-65 and column 15, line 10 to column 17, line 40).

Art Unit: 1763

Yoshiki et al do not explicitly teach spacing between slots as lambda.g. to enable introduction of in-phase microwaves into the plasma generation chamber, and also do not teach rectangular shapes of plasma generating chamber, its rectangular opening and magnetic coils with rectangular shape.

Ohmi et al teach (Figure 12) slotted waveguides 101a, 101b with slots 110 through which microwave energy is introduced via a window 115 into a laser tube 102. Ohmi et al further teach that when the pitch of slots is lambda.g. in-phase microwaves are emitted from the plurality of slots (paragraph 0101).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to keep the interval between slots as lambda.g. as taught by Ohmi et al in the apparatus of Yoshiki et al to obtain microwaves whose currents and electric fields are oriented in the same direction, thereby allowing more uniform excitation in the chamber.

Yoshiki et al in view of Ohmi et al do not teach rectangular shapes of plasma generating chamber, its opening and magnetic coils.

Shinji et al teach an apparatus (Figures 1, 2) that includes a rectangular plasma chamber 1 having a rectangular outlet 1d and rectangular shaped magnetic coil 4 (Abstract).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use rectangular shaped plasma chamber with rectangular opening and rectangular shaped magnetic coil as taught by Shinji et al in the apparatus of Yoshiki et al in view of Ohmi et al enable process a rectangular specimen uniformly.

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshiki et al (US Patent No. 5,843,236) in view of Ohmi et al (EP 1 032 097 A2) and Shinji et al (JP 62-152127) as applied to Claim 1 and further in view of Hiroshi et al (US Patent No. 5,389,154).

Art Unit: 1763

Regarding Claims 2,3: Yoshiki et al in view of Ohmi et al and Shinji et al teach all limitations of the claim except that microwave introducing means includes microwave branching means.

Hiroshi et al teach an ECR plasma apparatus (Figure 1) comprising:

a plasma generation chamber 20, magnetic coils 50, waveguide 33 with dividing circuit 64 (microwave branching means comprising of microwave branching portion 37 – page 16, lines 15-25 of specification) [column 6, lines 40-60].

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use microwave introducing means that includes branching and binding means as taught by Hiroshi et al in the apparatus of Yoshiki et al in view of Ohmi et al and Shinji et al to enable control formation of nodes and the phase of the magnetic fields of microwaves (column 7, lines 15-50).

Regarding Claims 4, 6: Yoshida et al teach the apparatus comprises rolls 14, 15 (sample moving means for moving large sized objects- page 8, lines 10-22 of specification) around which a sheet shaped object 13 (rectangular area of surface of sample) to be processed is wound and moved for processing the same (page 15, lines 60-67).

Regarding Claim 5: Hiroshi et al teach that the apparatus is an ECR plasma apparatus.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshiki et al (US Patent No. 5,843,236) in view of Ohmi et al (EP 1 032 097 A2), Shinji et al (JP 62-152127) and Kou et al (US Patent No. 6,246,175).

Regarding Claim 7: Yoshiki et al in view of Ohmi et al and Shinji et al teach all limitations of the claim (as explained above under claim 1) including straight shaped waveguide 18 with openings 18b, 18c and where the interval between openings is lambda.g. to obtain emission of in-phase microwaves from the plurality of openings.

Art Unit: 1763

Yoshiki et al in view of Ohmi et al and Shinji et al do not teach microwave introduction means include resonant cavity with first and second openings.

Kou et al teach a plasma apparatus (Figures 1, 2, 5, 6) comprising a processing chamber 60 and a microwave introduction means having a cavity resonator 100 (microwave introduction means with cavity resonator comprising of a first terminal end and a second terminal end with an opening – page 13, lines 8-15 of the specification) with a first terminal end 11 and a second terminal end with opening 12, and plurality of second openings (to locate windows 64). Kou et al also teach that distance between a terminal end of the cavity and the other end having first opening 12 is a design parameter and is set such that resonant condition is maintained in the cavity. Kou et al further teach that distance between a terminal end of the cavity and the end having first opening is: 49 X 11 = 539 mm or 53.9 cm, which includes the range given in the claim formula (that is, lambda .sub.g /2 X n, where n is 3 or more and lambda .sub.g is approx. 12.24 cm for frequency of 2.45 GHz). It would be obvious to optimize the distance between a terminal end of the cavity and the other end having an opening as per related process parameters including resonant frequency of microwaves (column 3, line 10 to column 6, line 50).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use microwave cavity resonator as taught by Kou et al in the apparatus of Yoshiki et al in view of Ohmi et al and Shinji et al to achieve high electric field density for processing large area substrates (column 2, lines 20-35).

Claims 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshiki et al (US Patent No. 5,843,236) in view of Ohmi et al (EP 1 032 097 A2), Shinji et al (JP 62-152127) and Kou et al (US Patent No. 6,246,75) as applied to Claim 7 and further in view of Hiroshi et al (US Patent No. 5,389,154).

Art Unit: 1763

Regarding Claim 8: Yoshiki et al in view of Ohmi et al, Shinji et al and Kou et al teach all limitations of the claim-except that microwave introducing means includes microwave branching means.

Hiroshi et al teach an ECR plasma apparatus (Figure 1) comprising:

a plasma generation chamber 20, magnetic coils 50, waveguide 33 with dividing circuit 64 (microwave branching means comprising of microwave branching portion 37 – page 16, lines 15-25 of the specification) [column 6, lines 40-60].

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use microwave introducing means which include branching and binding means as taught by Hiroshi et al in the apparatus of Yoshiki et al in view of Ohmi et al, Shinji et al and Kou et al to enable control formation of node and the phase of the magnetic fields of microwaves (column 7, lines 15-50).

Regarding Claims 9, 11: Hiroshi et al teach that apparatus is an ECR plasma apparatus.

Regarding Claims 10, 12: Yoshida et al teach the apparatus comprises rolls 14, 15 (sample moving means for moving large sized objects on page 8, lines 10-22) around which a sheet shaped object 13 (rectangular area of surface of sample) to be processed is wound and moved for processing the same (page 15, lines 60-67).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rakesh K. Dhingra whose telephone number is (571)-272-5959. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 -6:00 (Monday - Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571)-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rakesh Dhingra

Parviz Hassanzadeh Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1763 Page 8